



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,810	08/17/2006	Jae-Chul Kim	F-9192	2424
28107 7590 02/17/2009 JORDAN AND HAMBURG LLP 122 EAST 42ND STREET SUITE 4000 NEW YORK, NY 10168				
EXAMINER				
RICHMAN, GLENNE				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3764				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/17/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/589,810

**Applicant(s)**

KIM, JAE-CHUL

**Examiner**

/Glenn Richman/

**Art Unit**

3764

**Period for Reply** -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 November 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 11-14 and 16-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 11-14 and 16-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S508)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

### **DETAILED ACTION**

The indicated allowability of the claims is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Lim et al. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11, 13, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Radow in view of Lim et al.

Radow discloses a lower frame fig. 1a; a running belt installed with the lower frame fig. 1a; a motor 170 rotating the running belt; a support frame fig. 1a installed into one side of the lower frame a handle attached to the support frame; and a scale plate 125, : a sensor for sensing actuating information including a reciprocating time, a reciprocating distance, a reciprocating speed, and forward and reverse rotation states; and a motor driver for controlling the motor to allow the running belt to move reciprocally col. 18, lines 45 – et seq., wherein both edges of the lower frame and both edges of the running belt are formed with a recognition table, respectively, wherein the recognition table is used to recognize the reciprocating time, reciprocating distance and reciprocating speed of the running belt col. 18, lines 45 – et seq.

Radow doesn't disclose the running belt moves in one direction by a first distance within a first time interval, stops during a stopping time interval, and then moves in an opposite direction by a second distance within a second time interval.

Lim et al disclose the running belt moves in one direction by a first distance within a first time interval, stops during a stopping time interval, and then moves in an opposite direction by a second distance within a second time interval (abstract, col. 4, lines 63 – et seq.).

It would have been obvious to use Lim et al's means for running the belt in direction, stopping and reversing the belt, with Radow's treadmill, as it is well known as taught by Lim et al, for providing a treadmill that an exerciser can use in the forward and reverse directions.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Radow and Lim et al in view of Bernacki et al.

Radow discloses the scale plate indicates a reciprocating time, a reciprocating distance and a reciprocating speed of the running belt col. 20, lines 62 – et seq.

Radow does not disclose a lamp and a speaker indicating an alarm if the forward and reverse rotations of the motor are reversed.

Bernacki discloses "means coupled to the controller for providing a perceptible warning signal prior to the controller switching the direction of the winding and unwinding means at the end of each lap."

It would have been obvious to use Bernacki's warning signal with Radow's treadmill, as it is well known as taught by Bernacki to use a warning signal for providing an indication of a reversal of direction to a user for providing a safety measure. It would have been further obvious for Bernacki's signal to be a lamp and a speaker, as these are well known indicators col. 12, lines 15 – et seq.

Lim et al disclose moving the running belt to one direction by a first distance within a first time interval; stopping the running belt during a stopping time; and moving the running belt to an opposite direction by a second distance within a second time interval (abstract, col. 4, lines 63 – et seq.).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 18-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin et al.

As for the variant species of claims 18-26, they are all obvious in view of Lin et al, as they are all well known in having a conveyor belt or a treadmill belt starting, stopping, reversing based on various time intervals and distances.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Salerno and Sumrall disclose a treadmill having a forward, reverse and stopping mode.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to /Glenn Richman/ whose telephone number is 571-272-4981. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, LoAn Thanh can be reached on (571)272-4966. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Glenn Richman/  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 3764